In the Indiana Supreme Court

IN THE MATTER OF) Cose No. 45500 0201 DI 71
MACARTHUR DRAKE) Case No. 45S00-0201-DI-71)
ORDER FINDING MISCONDUCT AND IMPOSING DISCIPLINE	
Upon review of the report of the hearing officer appointed by this Court to hear evidence on the Disciplinary Commission's <i>Verified Complaint for Disciplinary Action</i> , and the parties' briefs, we find that the respondent engaged in attorney misconduct.	
properly provide the wife with an enforced stated in response to the wife's grievance again	and and wife in a dissolution of marriage petition, failed to able right to her husband's pension. The Respondent then ainst him that he never represented her, when in fact he had otect his client's interests in a bankruptcy proceeding.
lawyer to provide competent representation 8.1(a), which prohibits a lawyer in a discip	ated Ind. Professional Conduct Rule 1.1, which requires a to a client. He violated Indiana Professional Conduct Rule linary matter from knowingly making a false statement of ressional Conduct Rule 1.3, which requires a lawyer to act representing a client.
For the misconduct found herein, this C reprimand, as recommended by the Hearing	Court now finds that the respondent should receive a public Officer.
	the respondent, MacArthur Drake, is hereby reprimanded and Costs of this proceeding are assessed against the respondent.
to the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary C	ward notice of this order to the respondent and his attorney; commission, to the Hearing Officer, Hon. John R. Pera, Lake on Point, IN 46307, and to all other entities as provided in
DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this day of May 2006.	
	Randall T. Shepard Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.